| | Case 1:20-cv-01325-NONE-EPG Docum | ent 21 Filed 01/08/21 Page 1 of 2 | | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | | | | | |
| 9 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | |
| 11 | BOBBY KNIGHT, | No. 1:20-cv-01325-NONE-EPG (PC) | | | | | |
| 12 | Plaintiff, | ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND | | | | | |
| 13 | V. | RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING CERTAIN CLAIMS AND DEFENDANTS | | | | | |
| 14 | NKIRUKA NOV, et al., | (Doc. Nos. 1, 9, 20) | | | | | |
| 15 | Defendants. | | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | | |
| 17 | Bobby Knight ("plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in | | | | | | |
| 18 | this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, which includes state law claims. The | | | | | | |
| 19 | matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and | | | | | | |
| 20 | Local Rule 302. | | | | | | |
| 21 | On December 9, 2020, the assigned magistrate judge entered findings and | | | | | | |
| 22 | recommendations, recommending that "all claims and defendants be dismissed, except for | | | | | | |
| 23 | Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment claim against defendant Edwards for deliberate indifference to his | | | | | | |
| 24 | serious medical needs." (Doc. No. 16 at 2.) | | | | | | |
| 25 | Plaintiff was provided an opportunity to file objections to the findings and | | | | | | |
| 26 | recommendations. The deadline to file objections has passed, and plaintiff did not file objections. | | | | | | |
| 27 | Plaintiff did file a motion to dismiss a claim against certain defendants (Doc. No. 20), which | | | | | | |
| 28 | appears to be a statement of non-opposition to the findings and recommendations (at least in 1 | | | | | | |

| | Case 1:20-cv | -01325-NONE-EPG | Document 21 | Filed 01/08/21 | Page 2 of 2 | | |
|----|--|---|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|----|--|
| 1 | part). | | | | | | |
| 2 | In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this | | | | | | |
| 3 | court has conducted a <i>de novo</i> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the | | | | | | |
| 4 | court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis. | | | | | | |
| 5 | Accordingly, | | | | | | |
| 6 | 1. | . The findings and recommendations issued on December 9, 2020, (Doc. No. 16), | | | | | |
| 7 | | are adopted in full; | | | | | |
| 8 | 2. All claims and defendants are dismissed, except for Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment | | | | | | |
| 9 | claim against defendant Edwards for deliberate indifference to his serious medical | | | | | | |
| 10 | | needs; and | | | | | |
| 11 | 3. | The Clerk of Court is | directed to reflec | ct the dismissal of | all defendants on the | he | |
| 12 | court's docket, except for defendant Edwards. | | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | | |
| 14 | IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: January 7, 2021 Dale A. Dryd | | | | | | |
| 15 | Dated: | January 7, 2021 | IIN | TED STATES D | DISTRICT JUDGE | | |
| 16 | | | ON | ITED STATES D | ISTRICT JUDGE | | |
| 17 | | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | | |